

TRULINCS 47601177 - KUTJ, JONATHAN DANIEL - Unit: FTW-J-A

103

FROM: 47601177
TO:
SUBJECT:
DATE: 10/29/2014 02:45:48 PM

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
FORT WORTH DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)

v.)

JONATHAN DANIEL KUTEJ, ex rel.)

No.: 4:14-CR-074-Y

Special Appearance by Affidavit

I, come now in peace, Jonathan Daniel, "House of Kutej", sui juris, "All rights reserved from birth till death, UCC 1-308, formerly 1-207", In Propria Persona, alive in Full Life, alive both civilly and physically, a living breathing, flesh and blood, Ambassador, Minister, and Son of God, Domiciled in Heaven, temporarily member to the Republic in the Union state, Texas, (hereinafter, Me, me, Myself, myself, I, "non corporate lower case spelling of" Jonathan Daniel Kutej, Jonathan Daniel, Jonathan, or Kutej) the innocent, wrongfully accused, affiant, and Authorized Representative of JONATHAN DANIEL KUTEJ, the, Defendant, Corporate Fictional Person/Construct/Entity, Civiliter Mortus, (hereinafter, JONATHAN DANIEL KUTEJ, JONATHAN DANIEL, JONATHAN, KUTEJ, Defendant, Straw-man, Straw or It) state the following is true, correct, and complete to the bests of my knowledge, and belief, SO HELP ME GOD:

ORIGINAL

U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
FILED

NOV - 3 2014

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

By Deputy

1:38 pm
ct
r

TRULINCS 47601177 - KUTJ, JONATHAN DANIEL - Unit: FTW-J-A

2 of 3

FROM: 47601177

TO:

SUBJECT:

DATE: 10/29/2014 07:01:16 PM

In reference to the affidavit, I recently sent to the court clerk. To be clear Warren st. John is discharged from his duties as Attorney, Lawyer, or Representation or anything else He or the court thinks He was to me, He was never, has never represented me, I never gave him authority to make decisions on My behalf or the defendant's behalf whom I represent in these matter's, Warren st. John has done nothing but hinder me in representing and defending myself and the defendant I have a rite to counsel, which means a person to answer questions about law and to counsel me in decisions I make. Not an attorney to act on my behalf and make decisions for me and the defendant and to not answer any of my questions and to ignore my requests. I have the right to discharge counsel, whether appointed or hired, and elect to defend myself, also I understand the courts disadvantage in my voluntary decision to represent myself and the defendant in all matters.

Furthermore, I am exercising My inherent Right, Granted by God, to represent and defend Myself and the Defendant due to Warrens ineffective assistance as alleged counsel.

30 f 3

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the Republic where I temporarily occupy but do not maintain a "domicile" or "residence" and from without the "United States" defined in 28 U.S.C. §1603(c) 26 U.S.C. §7408(d), and 26 U.S.C. §7701(a)(9) and (10) and only when litigated under the following conditions that the facts, exhibits, and statements made by in this and the attached pleading me are true, correct, and complete to the best of my knowledge and ability in accordance with 28 U.S.C §1746(1).

1. Jury trial in a court of a state of the Union and not a federal court.
2. Constitutional diversity of citizenship under U.S. Constitution Article III, Section 2 but NOT statutory diversity pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1332(a)(2).
3. No jurist or judge may be a statutory "U.S. citizen" under 8 U.S.C. §1401, a "taxpayer" under 26 U.S.C. §7701(a)(14), or be in receipt of any federal financial or other privilege, benefit, or employment, nor maintain a domicile on federal territory in order to avoid violating 18 U.S.C. §597 and 28 U.S.C. §455. Such persons would NOT be my "peers", but my mortal socialist enemies.
4. The common law of the state of the Union and no federal law or act of Congress or the Internal Revenue Code are the rules of decision, as required Fed.R.Civ.P. Rule 17(b), 28 U.S.C. §1652, and Erie RR v. Tompkins, 304 U.S. 64 (1938).
5. Any judge who receives retirement or employment benefits derived from Subtitle A of the I.R.C. recuse himself in judging the law and defer to the jury to judge both the facts and the law, as required under 18 U.S.C. §208, 28 U.S.C. §144, and 28 U.S.C. §455.
6. All of the pleadings, exhibits, and statements made, including those about the law, are admitted into evidence and subject to examination by the jury and/or fact finder.
7. None of the pleadings in the case are sealed or unpublished so as to cover up government wrongdoing or otherwise obstruct justice.
8. The signator is not censored or restricted by the judge in what he can say to the jury during the trial.
9. Submitter is treated as a "foreign sovereign" under the Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1602 through 1611.
10. Submitter is not treated as a "person" under 26 U.S.C. § 6671(b) or 26 U.S.C. §7343, which is defined as an officer of a corporation or partnership who has a fiduciary duty to the public as a "public officer".
See: <http://sedm.org/Forms/MemLaw/WhyThiefOrEmployee.pdf>
<http://sedm.org/Forms/Affidavits/AffCorpDenial.pdf>
11. Submitter is not treated as an "individual", which is defined in 5 U.S.C. § 552a(a)(2) as a "U.S. Citizen" under 8 U.S.C. §1401 or a permanent resident, who collectively are domiciliaries of the "United States", which is defined as the "District of Columbia" in 26 U.S.C. §7701(a)(9) and (a)(10) and is not extended elsewhere in the code to include states of the Union.
12. If the I.R.C. Subtitle A, which is private law, a "public right", a franchise, and a "statutory privilege" that only applies to those who consent explicitly or implicitly, is cited by the opponent against the Submitter, then the opponent must provide written proof of informed consent by the Submitter to the terms of the private law being cited. This is a fulfillment of the requirement that when jurisdiction is challenged, proof of jurisdiction must appear on the record. Otherwise, the private law must be removed from evidence of a liability or obligation. "Waivers of Constitutional rights not only must be voluntary, but must be knowing, intelligent acts done with sufficient awareness of the relevant circumstances and likely consequences." [Brady v. U.S., 397 U.S. 742 (1970)]



Non-acceptance of this affirmation or refusal to admit all evidence attached to this pleading into the record by the Court shall constitute evidence of duress upon the Submitter. This affirmation is an extension of my right to contract guaranteed under Article 1, Section 10 of the United States Constitution and may not be interfered with by any court of a State of the Union or of the United States



Signature

10-29-2014

Date Signed



NORTH TEXAS TX FEEDC
DALLAS TX 75201
31 OCT 2014 PM 7 L

47601177
Jonathan Donnie Kates
P.O. Box 15330
Federal Correctional Institute
Fort Worth Texas

47601-177


Court Clerk
501 west 10th st
Room 310
FORT Worth, TX 76104
United States

RECEIVED
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTH DISTRICT OF TX
FEDERAL DIVISION

2014 NOV -3 PM 1:38

CLERK OF COURT

Legal
Mail



75102354165